

The James Cook University trial of YourTutor

Dr Andrea Lynch
Learning, Teaching and Student Engagement
With thanks to Dr Nick Emtage, Dr Kayla Morris, Ms Jill Thomas for

statistical analyses.



Acknowledgements



Attendance at this conference was sponsored by YourTutor.

Commentary and analyses are those of the presenter and colleagues from James Cook University.

The James Cook University experience



Locating JCU


 The JCU Learning Centre approach to enhancing student success and the role of the YourTutor service

The JCU experience

Conclusions and considerations

The Australian context






Strategic Intent

"Creating a brighter future for life in the tropics world-wide through graduates and discoveries that make a difference"





One University
Two Countries
Three Tropical Campuses
Four Study Centres

70% first-infamily

5.3% Aboriginal and/or Torres
Strait Islander



Foundations of a (re)focused approach



Tinto (2009, p. 10) observes that student success

"...does not arise by chance.

It is the result of an intentional, structured, and proactive set of strategies that are coherent and systematic in nature and carefully aligned to the same goal".

Retention as 'everybody's business'



Whole of government policy settings


- Adequate student income support
- Sub-degree/pathway program places
- Place based support for areas of low participation

Institutional / organisational enablers

- Whole of institution discourse of high quality / high equity – reflected in priorities and infrastructure
 - · Role descriptions, e.g. FYE
 - Enabling IT systems, e.g. CRM, Analytics
 - · Staff workloads
- Adequate entry standards
- Professional learning for staff inc. sessional staff to respond to student cohort
- Policies and strategic planning that support student centred approaches

Student enablers

- Targeted student support services (health, counselling, student employment, scholarships, accommodation)
- Academic skill development / study skills
- High quality curriculum, ensuring intentional curriculum design and explicit teaching



The JCU Learning Centre








WINNER

2016 Award for Programs that Enhance Learning








High impact approach to developing graduate communication skills





Generic learning support and development









Cairns Campus Monday – Friday 10am - 3pm

Townsville Campus Monday – Friday 10am - 4pm



Online via LMS (VLE) Sunday - Friday 3pm - midnight

JCU's purpose for engaging YourTutor



- Equitable access to learning support in foundational concepts for internal and external students
- Timely access to learning support
- Recognition of JCU's demographic profile
 - open access pre-degree
 - undergraduate entry requirements
- Retention strategy
- Regulatory considerations
- Complement existing generic services and support high impact actions

Implementation Strategy



- YourTutor provided to first level subjects
 - Diploma of Higher Education
 - Bachelor first year: Business, Nursing, Education, Engineering
- Access via Blackboard learning management system only
- Communication focused on staff (and staff-students)
 - Academics and teaching staff
 - Student support officers
 - Student services
 - Mentors
 - PASS
- Information made available to students in orientation activities

Evaluation Strategy



- Regular monitoring of use data
 - Intervention for students 'overusing'
 - Setting of 'caps' in semester 2
 - Reports of poor, inconsistent or inappropriate feedback
- Audience engagement
- Program impact
 - student experience at JCU
 - student success
- Implementation

Data Sources



Your Tutor

- Use data
- Transcripts
- Satisfaction survey data

Institutional data

- Student demographics
- Grades

Ad hoc


Student survey

Usage by first year undergraduate students



1384 (22.9%)

4529 sessions




How did students hear about YourTutor?



| Source | N (%) |
|----------------|-------|
| Academic Staff | 73.6% |
| LearnJCU (LMS) | 47.8% |
| Other Students | 30.8% |
| Email | 24.2% |

Why not use YourTutor?





Who uses YourTutor?



| Disproportionately higher engagement: | Disproportionately lower engagement: |
|---|---|
| 0-19 year old students | 20-24 year old students |
| Females | Males |
| Commencing students | Aboriginal and / or Torres Strait Islander students |
| Cairns based students | Townsville based students |
| Students studying Business, Education and Social Sciences | Students studying Engineering, Information Technology, Medicine and Science |
| Students studying fulltime | Students studying a bachelor with honours |
| Students studying the Diploma of Higher Education | Students studying in internal mode. |
| Students studying in external mode. | |


What do we know about our YourTutor users?




They are help seekers

- 72% of those students who engaged in YourTutor participated in at least one other student support program at JCU
 - 30% accessing YourTutor plus one other program
 - 21% accessing YourTutor plus two other programs
 - 21% accessing YourTutor plus 3 to 9 other programs.
- 28% of those students who engaged with YourTutor did not appear to engage with any other student support program









Satisfaction (YourTutor survey)






| | | | | | | Ave. Satisfaction | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------------------|-----|-----|-----|--|
| | | 1.0 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.5 | |
| Disabled Indigenous Status Status | Indigenous (n=98) | | | | | | | | 4.3 | |
| | Non-Indigenous (n= 1726) | | | | | | | | 4.3 | |
| Disabled Status | Disabled (n= 153) | | | | | | | | 4.3 | |
| | Non-Disabled (1671) | | | | | | | | 4.3 | |
| Regionality | Metro (n= 1406) | | | | | | | | 4.3 | |
| | Regional (n= 292) | | | | | | | 4 | 1.2 | |
| | Remote (n=41) | | | | | | | | 4.4 | |
| SES | High (n= 19) | | | | | | | 3.8 | | |
| | Low (n= 381) | | | | | | | 4.1 | | |
| | Medium (1335) | | | | | | | | 4.3 | |
| NESB | English (n= 1629) | | | | | | | | 4.3 | |
| | Non-English (n= 195) | | | | | | | 4.1 | | |
| | 1 to 5 (n= 232) | | | | | | | | 4.3 | |
| | 6 to 10 (n= 529) | | | | | | | 4 | .2 | |
| SS | 11 to 15 (n= 465) | | | | | | | | 4.3 | |
| OP Class | | | | | | | | | • | |
| 0 | 16 to 20 (n= 279) | | | | | | | | 4.3 | |
| | 21 to 25 (n= 107) | | | | | | | | 4.3 | |
| | No OP (n= 212) | | | | | | | | 4.2 | |
| 1ode | External (n= 278) | | | | | | | 4 | 1.2 | |
| Study Mode | Internal (n= 1536) | | | | | | | | 4.3 | |
| St | Limited (n= 10) | | | | | | | | | |

Ave. Satisfaction 1.0 1.5 2.0 2.5 3.0 3.5 4.0 4.5 5.0 Connect Live (n= 415) 4.0 Accounting (n= 26) 3.3 Assignment Research (n= 17) 3.8 Biology (n= 15) 4.3 Business Studies (n= 5) 4.0 Chemistry (n= 50) 3.8 4.0 Essay Writing (n= 135) 4.2 Library skills (n = 5) 4.6 Macroeconomics (n= 6) 3.3 Maths (n= 48) 3.9 Microeconomics (n= 13) 4.0 Physics (n= 9) 4.4 Referencing (n= 26) 4.0




Student perceptions of impact on learning





Achievement





Students who sought assistance through YourTutor, have a higher mean GPA than those who did not. Analysis not intended to suggest causation.

Are the impacts different for different sub-groups?




Statistically significant improvement in mean GPA regardless of:

- Gender
- Ethnicity
- OP Class
- Mode of delivery
- Course category except Bachelor with Honours and Graduate Bachelor

GPA by course of study





GPA Pass

Students who sought assistance through YourTutor, have a higher mean GPA than those who did not. Analysis not intended to suggest causation.

Did more tutorials mean better results?



Connect Live


| Quartile (minutes) | n | GPA (M, SD) |
|--------------------|----|-------------|
| Q1 (<13) | 65 | 4.4 (1.7) |
| Q2 (13 – 26) | 62 | 4.5 (1.7) |
| Q3 (27 – 58) | 64 | 4.4 (1.5) |
| Q4 (>58) | 63 | 4.4 (4.4) |

Writing Feedback

| Number of Submissions | n | GPA (M, SD) |
|-----------------------|-----|-------------|
| One (low) | 580 | 4.2 (1.7) |
| Two to five (medium) | 570 | 4.7 (1.3) |
| More than five (high) | 129 | 5.2 (.9) |

Writing feedback





Compared to the Learning Advice Desk?




| Learning Advice Desk | # students |
|-------------------------|------------|
| 2015 | 1434 |
| 2016 | 1483 |
| | |

Your Tutor 1384

~32% also used YourTutor

Learning Advice Desk: Achievement





Students who sought assistance through The Learning Advice Desk, have a higher mean GPA than those who did not. Analysis not intended to suggest causation.

Questions generated



- How do we reach the 75%?
- How do we better understand (and exploit) the motivations for help seeking?
- What are the implications for high use of writing feedback?
 - Is it a Queensland thing?
 - Confidence building?
 - Assist in supporting curriculum interventions?
 - Quality feedback from academics more likely?
- Transferability?
- Moving students from technically accurate writer to discipline expert

What is 'in it' for JCU?



- A targeted equity strategy to address retention
- Providing support at scale in peak periods
- Regulatory compliance
- Positive customer experience
 - Strong support for implementation
 - Prompt response to issues of poor quality feedback
 - Transparency of data
 - Flexibility in applying caps (on and off)

Take home messages



- Complementary generic support program
 - Extends the 'reach' of existing services
 - Potentially improves student success
 - Value adds to a supportive learning environment
 - Supports normalising help seeking
- Not a 'set and forget' solution
- Addresses foundational knowledge



Thank you

Questions?

