

Best practice in primary care pathology: review 3

W S A Smellie, J Forth, D Bareford, P Twomey, M J Galloway, E C M Logan, S R S Smart, T M Reynolds and C Waine

J. Clin. Pathol. 2006;59;781-789 doi:10.1136/jcp.200X.033944

Updated information and services can be found at: http://jcp.bmj.com/cgi/content/full/59/8/781

These include:

Data supplement "Erratum" http://jcp.bmj.com/cgi/content/full/59/8/781/DC1 References This article cites 65 articles, 26 of which can be accessed free at: http://jcp.bmj.com/cgi/content/full/59/8/781#BIBL 11 online articles that cite this article can be accessed at: http://jcp.bmj.com/cgi/content/full/59/8/781#otherarticles **Rapid responses** One rapid response has been posted to this article, which you can access for free at: http://jcp.bmj.com/cgi/content/full/59/8/781#responses You can respond to this article at: http://jcp.bmj.com/cgi/eletter-submit/59/8/781 **Email alerting** Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article service A correction has been published for this article. The contents of the correction have Correction been appended to the original article in this reprint. The correction is also available online at: http://jcp.bmj.com/cgi/content/full/59/10/1116-a

Notes

To order reprints of this article go to: http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform

To subscribe to *Journal of Clinical Pathology* go to: http://journals.bmj.com/subscriptions/

REVIEW

Best practice in primary care pathology: review 3

W S A Smellie, J Forth, D Bareford, P Twomey, M J Galloway, E C M Logan, S R S Smart, T M Reynolds, C Waine

J Clin Pathol 2006;59:781-789. doi: 10.1136/jcp.200X.033944

This best practice review examines four series of common primary care questions in laboratory medicine: (i) "minor" blood platelet count and haemoglobin abnormalities; (ii) diagnosis and monitoring of anaemia caused by iron deficiency; (iii) secondary hyperlipidaemia and hypertrialyceridaemia; and (iv) glycated haemoglobin and microalbumin use in diabetes. The review is presented in question-answer format, referenced for each question series. The recommendations represent a précis of guidance found using a standardised literature search of national and international guidance notes, consensus statements, health policy documents and evidence-based medicine reviews, supplemented by Medline Embase searches to identify relevant primary research documents. They are not standards, but form a guide to be set in the clinical context. Most of the recommendations are based on consensus rather than evidence. They will be updated periodically to take account of new information.

> his is the third in a planned series of reviews to answer several questions that arise during the use of pathology in primary care.

Each subject is introduced with a brief summary of the type of information found and is handled separately with its own reference list.

Although the individual subjects are not related, as they cover the disciplines of clinical biochemistry, microbiology, immunology, haematology and cellular pathology, they are designed to form a resource, which will be indexed and cover a wide range of the most common primary care laboratory issues.

In instances where the new United Kingdom General Medical Services (GMS) contracts make specific reference to a laboratory test, the indicator or target is appended at the end of the answer.

"MINOR" ABNORMALITIES IN BLOOD COUNT (DB AND ECML)

Clear diagnostic results (eg, acute leukaemia, agranulocytosis) arising from a full blood count rarely pose serious diagnostic consequences in primary care. The boundaries between the statistically abnormal and clinically relevant abnormalities and the further investigations recommended in these situations are, however, less clear. The following series of questions examines raised and low platelet counts and haemoglobin and attempts to identify situations in which further monitoring or investigation is required and those in which immediate secondary care attention is appropriate.

When and how should I investigate a low platelet count?

Table 1 shows the recommended actions for various values of platelet count threshold.

The lower end of the UK population reference range for blood platelets is $140 \times 10^9 / l_1$ although one review has recommended $150 \times 10^9 / l_1$ (or $120 \times 10^9 / l_1$ during late pregnancy) as the threshold to trigger a repeat test in a Caucasian population.² Platelet counts of $120 \times 10^9 / l_1$ may, however, be seen in healthy people of African origin and $110 \times 10^9 / l_1$ in those of Afro-Caribbean origin.

Symptoms of a low platelet count are bruising and ecchymoses, muco-cutaneous bleeding and prolonged bleeding after trauma or lacerations. Very low platelet counts cause petechiae.

History

- Bleeding, bruising, alcohol
- Drug history—for example, co-trimoxazole, quinine, thiazide diuretics and heparin (for a complete list of drugs, see http://moon.ouhsc.edu/jgeorge).³

Examination

- Bruising or bleeding, petechiae
- Blood blisters in mouth
- Lymphadenopathy, hepatosplenomegaly, jaundice, fever.⁴

Investigations and management

- Platelet count may be falsely low if there is difficulty bleeding the patient or owing to EDTA-related pseudothrombocytopenia (anti-coagulant contained in blood count tubes). Repeat the full blood count with blood film.⁵ Some laboratories may request a repeat sample in an alternative anticoagulant. No recommendation for a specific timing was found and we would suggest a repeat test in 4 days.
- Consider alcohol or current drugs. Platelet counts will recover 5–7 days after stopping the incriminated drug.⁴
- If platelet count <30×10⁹/l, stop any antiplatelet drug—for example, aspirin.⁶

Abbreviations: ADA, American Diabetes Association; CVD, cardiovascular disease; DCCT, Diabetes Control and Complications Trial; GMS, General Medical Services; HbA_{1c}, glycated haemoglobin; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; MCV, mean cell volume; NICE, National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence

See end of article for authors' affiliations

Correspondence to: W S A Smellie, Department of Chemical Pathology, Bishop Auckland General Hospital, Cockton Hill Road, Bishop Auckland, County Durham DL14 6AD, UK; info@smellie. com

Accepted for publication 3 November 2005

| Table 1 | Recommended actions for various values of | |
|------------|---|--|
| platelet c | ount threshold | |

| Platelet count threshold | Action Repeat the test with blood film to exclude artefact and myelodysplasia, marrow infiltration or other problems. If confirmed, urgently refer to a haematologist | |
|--|--|--|
| <30×10 ⁹ /l or <70/l with symptoms | | |
| <70×10 ⁹ /l | Confirm immediately with repeat test and refer if not chronically thrombocytopenic | |
| <110×10 ⁹ /l | Recheck monthly for 3 months. Refer | |
| <150×10 ⁹ /l | Recheck in 2 months to ensure not falling | |

- Examine for splenomegaly, and measure bilirubin and liver enzymes as indicators of possible liver disease or portal hypertension causing hypersplenism.⁷
- If platelet count $>60 \times 10^{9}$ /l, consider myelodysplasia.⁸
- Thrombocytopenia may be an early sign of serious marrow disease, thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura or the haemolytic uraemic syndrome.⁹
- Consider HIV infection in patients with risk factors.

Platelet counts $>30 \times 10^{9}$ /l rarely cause symptoms and receive no urgent treatment.¹⁰⁻¹³ Antiplatelet treatment should, however, be avoided or stopped, depending on the relative risks of haemorrhage or thrombosis.¹⁰ Although no specific referral threshold was found, we recommend referral to a haematologist if the platelet count falls to $<70 \times 10^{9}$ /l or is $<110 \times 10^{9}$ /l and falling on 4-weekly checks.

It is now recommended that children with immune thrombocytopenia do not receive treatment even for severe thrombocytopenia ($<10 \times 10^{9}$ /l), in the absence of clinically important bleeding.^{13–15} A further guideline recommends that hospital admission is not warranted in idiopathic thrombocytopenia if the platelet count is $>20 \times 10^{9}$ /l and no treatment if it is $>50 \times 10^{9}$ /l. For practical purposes, these patients would have been referred under the above recommendations and the decision on further action would be taken in secondary care.

Low platelet count in pregnancy excludes hypertensive disorders and gestational thrombocytopenia.¹⁶ (Gestational thrombocytopenia requires no intervention and is defined by five criteria: mild and asymptomatic thrombocytopenia; no history of thrombocytopenia (except possibly during a previous pregnancy); occurrence during late gestation; no association with fetal thrombocytopenia; and spontaneous resolution after delivery.)

No excessive bleeding was detected in the mother at delivery with platelet counts $>50 \times 10^9 / L^{17}$

Excessive complications were not detected when epidural punctures were carried out with platelet counts $>70 \times 10^{9}/1.^{18}$ Therefore, we adopted 70/1 as a threshold for seeking haematological advice in asymptomatic people. Children have not had complications from lumbar punctures carried out with platelet counts $>10 \times 10^{9}/1.^{19}$

For a slightly reduced platelet count (between 110 and $150 \times 10^9/I$), the national guidelines clearing house recommends rechecking "every few months" to ensure that the count is not falling.¹ We recommend checking every 2 months. No guidance is given for counts $<100 \times 10^9/I$.

What is the relevance of a high platelet count?

We recommend that if asymptomatic, in the case of a raised platelet count, the test should be repeated after an 8-week period so that any cause of reactive thrombocytosis settles or becomes overt.

Table 2 shows the suggested thresholds on confirmation of a rise in platelet count.^{20 21} The categories of a high platelet count (> 450×10^9 /l) are primary, secondary and redistribution.

- *Primary*: diagnosis is often arrived at by exclusion of secondary causes.
- *Secondary*: to conditions which result in a reactive marrow, such as infections, inflammation, trauma, malignancy or iron deficiency.
- *Redistribution*: because of splenectomy. Platelet count would not be >600 and requires no action.

Symptoms occurring with primary thrombocythaemia

- *Major complications*: Transient ischaemic attack, cerebrovascular accident, digital gangrene, venous thrombosis. Haemorrhagic problems can occur with high platelet counts (>1000×10⁹/1).
- *Minor complications*: Headaches, dizziness, tinnitus, visual disturbances, erythromelalgia (burning pain in the hands or feet associated with erythema and warmth), paraesthesis, leg pain, digital cyanosis.²³ ²⁴

Patients can have thrombotic problems even when the platelet count is in, or just above, the normal range.²⁵ If the patient is below 60 years of age and has no other risk factors, then primary thrombocythaemia should be considered (if in doubt, seek advice from a haematologist).

Reactive thrombocytosis

• Even platelet counts >1000×10/l are not associated with major thrombosis or haemorrhage.²⁶

Investigations

Clinical evaluation—signs of inflammation (eg, infection, rheumatoid arthritis) or bleeding

- Temperature, C reactive protein/plasma viscosity or erythrocyte sedimentation rate, if raised, would indicate an infectious, inflammatory or malignant cause.²⁷
- Ferritin or transferrin saturation, if low, would indicate a rise secondary to iron deficiency.
- Check previous blood counts if available. If platelet count is previously raised in the absence of chronic illness, this would suggest a primary cause.

What is the relevance of high haemoglobin?

We recommend firstly repeating the measurement. Consider referral if a patient has a persistently raised venous haematocrit (>0.52 for males, >0.48 for females for >2 months).²⁸

| Table 2 Sugg n platelet cour | gested thresholds on confirmation of a rise at (\times 10 $^{9}/\text{l})$ |
|--|---|
| <450 | No further action required |
| 450-600 | If symptoms, refer |
| | If asymptomatic, investigate |
| 600-1000 | If no secondary cause, refer |
| | Consider aspirin* |
| >1000 | Refer. Avoid aspirin unless thrombotic |
| | complications present |

*Aspirin has been shown to be efficacious in primary thrombocythaemia, but the level at which it should be initiated is unknown.²²

Clinical assessment

- *History*: Pruritis, especially after a hot bath, or splenomegaly can be a sign of primary polycythaemia. Raised WBC and platelets are suggestive of primary polycythaemia. Heavy smoking and lung disease (which can also cause a moderate increase in platelet and white cell count) may indicate apparent or secondary polycythaemia.
- *Examination*: Blood pressure, chest disease, splenomegaly.
- *Main investigations*: Chest *x* ray (if indicated).

The major pathophysiology of a raised haemoglobin level is increasing haematocrit causing an increase in whole blood viscosity. The incidence of large-vessel occlusion increases with haematocrits >0.45.²⁹

High haemoglobin levels can occur with a normal wholebody red cell mass—this is called apparent erythrocytosis.³⁰ True polycythaemia can be assumed to be present when the haematocrit is >0.6 in males and 0.56 in females.³¹

A high haemoglobin level can be caused by a secondary polycythaemia such as hypoxic lung disease or even by chronic high levels of carboxyhaemoglobin owing to cigarette smoking. Other much less common causes include erythropoietin release from renal cysts and tumours, liver and parathyroid tumours, and uterine fibroids.²⁸

A high haemoglobin level can be caused by a primary polycythaemia (incidence 2:100 000) in which the WBC and platelets may also be raised. These people are at increased risk of thrombosis even with haematocrits near, and occasionally in, the normal range, possibly caused by the pan-myeloproliferative element of the condition.³² Aspirin reduces the incidence of thrombosis in primary polycythaemia,³³ suggesting a key role for platelets in thromboembolic events.

Studies have shown that a haematocrit level in the upper normal range, or slightly raised, may be associated with an increase in thrombotic events and cardiovascular mortality compared with those with an haematocrit level in the middle or lower part of the normal range.³⁴ That the increase in mortality associated with apparent erythrocytosis is because of the high haematocrit level is not clear; nor are there randomised studies to show that reducing the haematocrit level in apparent erythrocytosis reduces morbidity or mortality.

Serial measurements of the haematocrit level in untreated patients with apparent erythrocytosis show that the haematocrit level returns to within the normal range in up to 30% of patients.³⁵

Modifications in the factors that are associated with apparent or secondary erythrocytosis, such as obesity, smoking and hypertension, may lead to a reduction in haematocrit level.³⁶ In those with severe hypoxic pulmonary disease, supplementary oxygenation may be required and a review by a specialist respiratory physician should be considered. In addition, a clinically relevant minority of patients with erythrocytosis has nocturnal oxygen desaturation because of obstructive sleep apnoea,³⁷ and such patients should be referred for appropriate investigation.³⁸

ANAEMIA CAUSED BY IRON DEFICIENCY (MJG, DB)

Tests used in the diagnosis of anaemia caused by iron deficiency have changed in recent years, and there remains some variability between the tests that laboratories offer and recommend. Their interpretation can also be complicated in the presence of acute or chronic inflammation. The guideline base is relatively limited, although reasonable consensus exists and laboratories are recommended to review the tests they offer and recommend for the investigation of iron deficiency.

In which patients with anaemia should iron deficiency be assessed and what tests should be used?

We propose that in patients with anaemia, as the mean cell volume (MCV) falls, the probability of iron deficiency increases, although no specific cut-off can be used. Even in patients with MCV <75 fl, only 68% will have iron deficiency.³⁹ In patients with anaemia and MCV >95 fl, there is a low probability of iron deficiency being present. Other causes should be investigated initially. Ferritin is superior to iron and iron-binding capacity or transferrin saturation.⁴⁰

Pretest assessment

All patients with anaemia should be assessed to estimate the presence of iron deficiency. The history should include:

- review of diet
- history of bleeding
- ingestion of gastric irritant drugs or other causes of iron deficiency.

Similarly, other causes of anaemia, such as chronic inflammation or underlying malignancy, can also be assessed before testing.

Post-test assessment

A serum ferritin concentration of <15 ng/ml confirms a diagnosis of iron deficiency and a ferritin concentration of >100 ng/ml rules out the diagnosis.⁴⁰ For patients with chronic iron deficiency, ferritin concentrations between 15 and 40 ng/ml provide sufficient evidence for the diagnosis to be made and treatment to begin. For patients with chronic inflammation, the same conclusion can be reached for ferritins up to the concentration of 70 ng/ml. For ferritins above this level, however, further assessment is required before a diagnosis can be reached, as ferritin levels rise with acute or chronic inflammation. A response to iron replacement treatment is definite confirmation of deficiency.

How should iron deficiency be monitored in patients who have received replacement treatment?

We recommend that remeasurement of ferritin is not necessary. Recovery of anaemia caused by iron deficiency is assessed from haemoglobin levels, after 3 weeks, to confirm response, and after 9 weeks, to confirm recovery once the source of iron deficiency has been identified and corrected.

Once oral iron replacement treatment has been started, the haemoglobin level should rise by about 2 g/dl every 3 weeks. Therefore, it is suggested that a blood count be repeated after 2–4 weeks of treatment to make sure that there is a response.^{41 42} A further full blood count should be performed at 2–4 months⁴² to ensure that the haemoglobin level has returned to normal. Iron replacement should then be continued for 3–6 months once the haemoglobin level has normalised, to replenish iron stores (3 months, 4–6 months⁴²). Once the diagnosis of iron deficiency has been confirmed, its cause will need to be established.⁴³

When should I screen for secondary hyperlipidaemia and what investigations are required?

We recommend screening for the causes of secondary hyperlipidaemia in all patients in whom lipid-lowering treatment is being considered.

The following investigations are recommended:

- dietary, alcohol and drug history
- urine dipstick testing for protein
- serum creatinine
- liver enzymes (alkaline phosphatase and a transaminase, preferably alanine aminotransferase) and bilirubin

- bone marrow, or laboratory blood glucose if diabetes is suspected
- thyroid-stimulating hormone, if the total cholesterol level is >8 mmol/l, unless thyroid disease is suspected clinically, and free thyroxine, if there is any suggestion of pituitary hypothyroidism.

One study of 1190 people referred from primary care to a hospital lipid clinic reported a prevalence of secondary hyperlipidaemia of 1.8% when diagnosed by laboratory tests alone, despite 17% of the people having at least one abnormality of the four screening tests used (although the abnormality was not believed to be related to an underlying secondary hyperlipidaemia).⁴⁴ Although the prevalence of secondary causes would therefore appear to be low overall, the prevalence of laboratory abnormalities that may be relevant to lipid management is far greater. The prevalence of secondary causes appears to be considerably greater as lipid parameters rise. This is considered below.

Alcohol overuse and liver disease are common conditions that present with dyslipidaemia, notably hypertriglyceridaemia. $^{45-48}$

Raised triglyceride concentrations are typically seen when people are first diagnosed with type 2 diabetes⁴⁹ and may be in excess of 20 mmol/l. Hypertriglyceridaemia is also common in type 2 diabetes in the metabolic syndrome,⁵⁰ even when blood glucose levels are well controlled.

Average cholesterol levels rise as the thyroid-stimulating hormone increases outside the reference range.⁵¹ One study that screened 2250 Scottish people found 90 people with a total cholesterol concentration >8 mmol/l, and 12% of these had biochemical evidence of hypothyroidism.⁵² Hypopituitarism should also be considered.⁵³

Specific patterns of dyslipidaemia are associated with certain conditions (table 3), but these patterns are not found consistently and the associated conditions may not be clinically apparent.

Adapted from PRODIGY and Durrington

Widespread consensus exists about which investigations to perform to screen for the causes of secondary hyperlipidaemia,^{45-48 54 55} although the International Task Force also recommends specialised tests (eg, to diagnose polycystic ovary syndrome) in specific situations. These investigations are recommended to exclude the most common conditions that cause hyperlipidaemia, irrespective of the pattern of the dyslipidaemia.

Hyperlipidaemia is rare as a presenting feature of nephrosis, but urine testing is a simple, non-invasive and inexpensive means of screening for this.

No evidence or clear expert consensus exists on when to screen for the causes of secondary hyperlipidaemia, and most guidelines do not provide absolute screening thresholds. PRODIGY⁴⁶ recommends excluding secondary causes, in particular in people with cholesterol >6.5 mmol/l or trigly-cerides >8.0 mmol/l. The European Atherosclerosis Society⁵⁶ and Scottish Intercollegiate Guideline Network⁴⁵ recommend exclusion of secondary causes before initiating lipid-lowering treatment.

When and why should I measure triglycerides at the same time as I measure cholesterol?

We recommend that triglycerides are measured

- in all people being assessed for cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk;
- in all people being considered for lipid-lowering treatment,^{57 58}

| Table 3 In | nportant causes o | f secondary | hyperlipidaemia |
|------------|-------------------|-------------|-----------------|
|------------|-------------------|-------------|-----------------|

| Lipid abnormality | Cause | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| Hypercholesterolaemia | Hypothyroidism Cholestatic jaundice | | |
| | Anorexia nervosa | | |
| | Nephrotic syndrome | | |
| | Drugs*: ciclosporin | | |
| Hypertriglyceridaemia | Hepatitis, hepatobiliary disease | | |
| | Alcohol misuse | | |
| | Diabetes mellitus | | |
| | Drugs*: isotretinoin, oral contraceptives (oestrogens), high doses of β-blockers, | | |
| | anion-exchange resins, antiretroviral | | |
| | drugs Pregnancy | | |
| | Obesity | | |
| | Renal failure | | |
| Combined | Drugs*: corticosteroids, high-dose | | |
| hypertriglyceridaemia and hypercholesterolaemia | thiazides, atypical antipsychotic agents | | |
| <i>/</i> 1 | Pregnancy | | |
| | Multiple myeloma | | |
| | Conditions that predominately cause | | |
| | hypertriglyceridaemia can also result in | | |
| | combined hyperlipidaemia in some | | |
| | people (eg, those with type 2 diabetes mellitus, obesity) | | |
| | ually) be dealt with first and then the need | | |
| for specific lipid-lowering tree | | | |
| review the indications for the | cause of clinically relevant hyperlipidaemia, drug and consider alternative treatments or also the addition of a lipid-lowering diet, | | |

- preferably if the initial cholesterol concentration was >2.3 mmol/l and definitely if it was >4.5 mmol/l; and
- before starting drugs known to increase triglycerides.

Triglycerides should be measured at the same time as cholesterol in certain circumstances, because raised levels

- can affect the accuracy of standard methods of calculating low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol;
- are associated with increased CVD risk;
- can indicate an undiagnosed secondary cause of hyperlipidaemia.

Triglyceride concentrations can be described as raised if >2.3 mmol/l and greatly raised if >5.6 mmol/l,⁵⁹ although thresholds for these descriptions vary.

Raised triglyceride levels are common in the general population. The Munster Heart study⁶⁰ reported a prevalence of hypertriglyceridaemia (triglycerides >2.3 mmol/l) of 5% in 20-year-old men, rising to 20% at age 45, and 2% in 20-yearold women, rising to 7% at age 60. Triglyceride concentrations >4 mmol/l are more unusual in the general population (the 95th centile in men from UK being approximately 3.8 mmol/l).⁶¹ A raised value should be rechecked to take account of biological variability. Non-fasting values >mmol/l would appear to justify rechecking on the basis of increased cardiovascular risk in this group regardless of fasting status,62 although a local evidence-based UK guideline has recommended a threshold of 4.5 mmol/l.58 There would not appear to be a clear evidence-based answer to this question, although, as 4.5 approximates to the threshold for triglyceride treatment (see below), retesting on a fasting sample would appear logical.

Many laboratories offer measurement of cholesterol without simultaneous measurement of triglycerides. For reasons set out below, however, in certain circumstances we recommend measuring the triglyceride level simultaneously.⁶³ Triglyceride levels have several implications for the measurement and treatment of cholesterol. Concentrations >2.3 mmol/l reduce the accuracy of methods used by many laboratories to calculate LDL cholesterol. When concentrations of triglycerides are >4.5 mmol/l, the method used to calculate LDL becomes unreliable and target total cholesterol levels cannot be used as treatment goals.⁶⁴ The NCEP recommends the use of non-HDL cholesterol as a different treatment goal if triglyceride concentrations are >2.3 mmol/l.⁵⁹

Although it is difficult to separate triglycerides from other risk variables, raised triglycerides are associated with increased coronary risk,⁶⁴ which is reduced by treatment increasing HDL and lowering triglycerides.⁶⁵ Therefore, we recommend measuring triglycerides in all people being assessed for CVD risk. Opinions are divided on the inclusion of triglycerides as formal targets in coronary prevention.

Hypertriglyceridaemia is also a frequent finding in undiagnosed secondary dyslipidaemia, notably in diabetes,⁵⁰ and in association with high alcohol intake and certain drugs (see secondary hyperlipidaemia above). Values may rise exponentially in these situations, owing to saturation of the triglyceride-removal system.⁶⁶ Therefore, we recommend measuring triglycerides during the assessment of all people being considered for lipid-lowering treatment.

Triglyceride concentrations can fluctuate considerably over time and with diet.^{57 67} The European Task Force therefore recommends that raised fasting values (>2 mmol/l) should prompt repeat measurements.

What triglyceride levels are associated with a risk of pancreatitis and require treatment on this basis? Serum triglycerides of

- 5 mmol/l carry a probable increased risk of pancreatitis;
- 10 mmol/l carry a high risk of pancreatitis; and
- 20 mmol/l carry a very high risk of pancreatitis.

We recommend the following:

- Underlying causes, particularly diabetes, alcohol and drugs (eg, tamoxifen, oestrogens), are looked for and managed appropriately when present.
- People with triglyceride concentrations >5 mmol/l are treated.
- People with triglyceride concentrations >10 mmol/l are treated with increasing urgency the higher the triglyceride concentration.

Very high levels of triglycerides carry a risk of pancreatitis. No absolute risk threshold exists for this and thresholds for increased risk described in recent guidelines vary— 6.5–13 mmol/l,⁶⁸ 10 mmol/l⁶⁹ or even 20 mmol/l.⁷⁰

One retrospective study in 56 women with hypertriglyceridaemia (triglyceride concentrations >5.2 mmol/l, median 16.5 mmol/l) found that 30% of the women had an episode of pancreatitis during the previous 3-year period.⁷¹ An earlier study of severe hypertriglyceridaemia (concentrations >9.8 mmol/l) associated with oestrogen replacement treatment reported that four of seven women with triglyceride concentrations >19.5 mmol/l had experienced acute pancreatitis and a further two women had acute abdominal pain thought to be pancreatitis over a period of 2.75 years.72 Triglyceride levels that were previously regarded as being associated with an increased risk of pancreatitis are probably too conservative (C Glueck, personal communication relating to Goldenberg et al⁷¹ and Glueck et al⁷², 2005). Additionally, on the basis of the limited evidence available from these small studies, there seems to be a very high risk of pancreatitis for people with triglyceride concentrations >19.5 mmol/l; a smaller but still high risk for people with triglyceride

Most guidance recommends treatment of raised triglyceride concentrations >5 mmol/l regardless of other factors^{59 68 69 73-75} and consideration of secondary referral for concentrations >10 mmol/l,⁷⁵ because of the increased likelihood of underlying medical causes and familial hyperlipidaemias.

TYPE 1 DIABETES (PT, TMR, CW)

Extensive guidelines exist for the management of diabetes, many of which have been extrapolated from the large landmark trials that are cited. This is a series of questions principally on glycated haemoglobin (HbA_{1C}) and its use in the diagnosis and monitoring of diabetes, with an additional question on microalbumin monitoring in patients with diabetes.

How often should HbA1c be measured in patients with diabetes?

We recommend

- a minimum of 15-monthly HbA_{1c} measurements in all patients with diabetes;
- ideally, two measurements per year in patients who are meeting treatment goals and who have stable glycaemic control; and
- more frequent measurements, up to a maximum of four to six per year, in patients whose treatment has changed or who are not meeting treatment goals.

 $\rm HbA_{1c}$ values enable clinicians to identify patients with poor glycaemic control, a task that is often difficult when using clinical judgement alone. Approximately half the glycation occurs in the preceding 30 days: 40% between 31 and 90 days and 10% after 90 days.⁷⁶⁻⁷⁹ Combined with the lifespan of red cells, which have a mean survival of 120 days, HbA_{1c} value reflects a weighted mean glycaemia over the preceding 2–3 months in the absence of blood loss or haemolysis.

No consensus exists as the optimal frequency for measuring HbA_{1c} has not been well established. More frequent testing is recommended when treatment goals are not being met or when a treatment regimen is changed.

One study recommended that no more than four to six HbA_{1c} assays be carried out each year for type 1 diabetes and approximately every 6 months for type 2 diabetes.⁷⁶ Consequently, a UK health technology assessment⁸⁰ recommended 6-monthly HbA_{1c} testing in stable patients with type 2 diabetes and 3-monthly testing in those with type 1 diabetes or unstable type 2 diabetes. UK national guide-lines^{81 82} have generally kept to the spirit of this health technology assessment by recommending more frequent testing in type 1 diabetes mellitus, with the minimum period being 2 months since the last measurement.

The American Diabetes Association (ADA)⁸³ and the National Academy of Clinical Biochemistry⁸⁴ recommend HbA_{1c} testing at least twice per year in patients who are meeting treatment goals (and who have stable glycaemic control) and quarterly in patients whose treatment has been changed or who are not meeting glycaemic goals. The American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists and American College of Endocrinology⁸⁵ recommend a minimum of 3-monthly HbA_{1c} assessments. Neither the ADA, National Academy of Clinical Endocrinologists/American College of Endocrinologists/American College of Endocrinologists/American College of Endocrinology differentiate between patients with type 1 and type 2 diabetes.

Despite the above recommendations, US quality assurance programmes⁸⁶ are based on at least one HbA_{1c} determination during the preceding year (GMS contract indicator greater than at least one HbA_{1c} during the previous 15 months).

When should HbA_{1c} be used in the diagnosis of diabetes or in patients without diabetes?

We do not recommend that HbA_{1c} be used in the diagnosis of diabetes or in patients without the disease.

 $\rm HbA_{1c}$ is not a diagnostic criterion for diabetes; no national or international guideline recommends that $\rm HbA_{1c}$ be used in the diagnosis of diabetes or in patients without diabetes. Conversely, several authors and advisory documents specifically state that $\rm HbA_{1c}$ does not have a role in the diagnosis of diabetes.^{83 87–89}

It is also a poor screening test for diabetes. Kilpatrick *et al*³⁰ evaluated the index of variability in people without diabetes and found this to be 0.27 (the ideal value being >1.4 for a screening test; index of variability <0.6 implies that a test is of little value for screening purposes).

How often should microalbumin be measured in patients with diabetes?

We recommend microalbumin measurement once in every 12–15 months in all adult patients with diabetes.

Before designating a patient as having microalbuminuria, at least two of three samples tested in a 3–6-month period should contain raised levels of microalbumin.

Diabetic nephropathy is the single leading cause of endstage renal disease. Persistent microalbuminuria is the earliest stage of diabetic nephropathy and is also a marker of increased CVD risk.⁹¹ The term "microalbumin" is technically used incorrectly in most situations, as it refers to the use of albumin testing methods that detect small (or micro) quantities of urinary albumin below the levels detected by conventional urine test strips. As the term microalbumin is widely used, however, it will be adopted in this answer.

In type 1 diabetes, the cumulative incidence of microalbuminuria at disease duration of 30 years is approximately 40%, and these patients have a relative risk of developing proteinuria of 9.3 compared with patients with normoalbuminuria. Approximately 20% of patients with type 1 diabetes develop proteinuria after a disease duration of 25 years.

In type 2 diabetes, the cumulative incidence of microalbuminuria at a disease duration of 10 years is approximately 20–25%. One fifth of these patients who survive for 10 years develop proteinuria. The prevalence of proteinuria in patients with type 2 diabetes is approximately 15%.

UK and American guidelines recommend annual microalbumin measurements in adult patients with diabetes.⁸³ First-morning samples are generally recommended, but this issue is not dealt with by the ADA.⁸³ There is agreement that urinary microalbumin assessment should be performed from diagnosis onwards in patients with type 2 diabetes. Slight divergences, however, are observed in the starting point for monitoring type 1 diabetes—the Scottish Intercollegiate Guideline Network⁹² recommends beginning at the age of 12, whereas the ADA⁸³ recommends that the child is 10 years of age and has had diabetes for 5 years. This has recently been reviewed by the National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE).⁸¹

Before designating persistent microalbuminuria, it is important to confirm the result, because of the inherent variability in microalbuminuria results (usually one more positive test of two additional tests is sufficient). The NICE⁸¹ type 1 guidelines specifically refer to the absence of proteinuria/urinary tract infections: proteinuria detectable by urine stick testing represents a stage beyond microalbuminuria, and urinary tract infection causes proteinuria unrelated to diabetic renal disease, which resolves on treatment. No consensus exists on the length of the confirmation period, with repeat tests to be performed "without delay", ⁹² "within one month where possible" (NICE type 2⁹³), "at least every three to four months" (NICE type 1⁸¹) or "within a 6-month period".⁸³

The role of regular microalbuminuria assessment after the designation of persistent microalbuminuria and the beginning of treatment has not been fully dealt with by clinical trials and thus is not specifically considered by the various guidelines. Many doctors continue surveillance to assess the response to treatment and progression of the disease (GMS contract indicator: at least one microalbumin measurement in the preceding 15 months).

How are HbA1c values interpreted?

As the absolute risks and benefits of lower targets are currently unknown, we recommend a general HbA_{1c} target of <7.5% aligned by the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial (DCCT) Research Group (and an ideal target of <6.5–7.0%), which should be individualised for each patient, noting their life expectancy and age, the incidence of hypoglycaemia, comorbid conditions and the potential for marked interindividual differences between mean blood glucose values and HbA_{1c} levels.

Glycaemic control is fundamental to the management of diabetes mellitus. Prospective randomised clinical trials such as $DCCT^{94}$ and United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes⁹⁵ showed that more intensive hypoglycaemic regimens, and thus lower HbA_{1c} values aligned by the DCCT, are associated with decreased rates of microvascular end points. These trials, however, showed that intensive glycaemic control is associated with severe hypoglycaemia and weight gain.

Laboratories should report DCCT-aligned HbA_{1c} values⁸² until the International Federation for Clinical Chemistry calibration is fully implemented. Samples for HbA_{1c} assays do not require any specialist timing relative to food.

The optimal target for HbA_{1c} is yet to be established and, accordingly, there is no consensus between guidelines. All, however, acknowledge that targets need to be individualised with the clinical risk of diabetic complications balanced against the risk of severe hypoglycaemic episodes. Assuming a non-diabetic reference interval of 4.0–6.0%, HbA_{1c} targets include <6.5% (International Diabetes Federation,⁹⁶ American College of Endocrinology,⁹⁷ NICE type 1—high cardiovascular risk⁸¹), 6.5–7.5% (NICE type 2⁸²), <7.0% (ADA⁸³ and NSF after the first year⁹⁹) and <7.5% (NICE type 1—not high cardiovascular risk⁸¹).

Although no optimal HbA_{1c} target currently exists, goals should be individualised to achieve as normal glucose values as possible. Interestingly, although there may be no population HbA_{1c} threshold for cardiovascular end points, the relationship was not linear in DCCT. Of the macrovascular benefit seen when mean population HbA_{1c} values decreased from 9.0% to 7.0%, approximately 50% and 70% of the decrease in events occurred at mean HbA_{1c} values of 8.6% and 8.0%, respectively.⁹⁹

Before alignment of HbA_{1c} by DCCT, it was difficult to meaningfully compare different HbA_{1c} methods. DCCT alignment and International Federation for Clinical Chemistry calibration, however, do not overcome methodspecific issues. As proper interpretation of HbA_{1c} results requires an understanding of the assay method, including its reference interval, precision, known interferences and specificities, such as abnormal haemoglobins or haemoglobinopathies (independent of any altered erythrocyte lifespan), laboratories should provide the healthcare professional of this information.

Proper interpretation also requires knowledge of the kinetics of glycation and the relationship between HbA_{1c} and mean plasma glucose. Large intraindividual differences exist in the relationship between mean plasma glucose levels of the population and HbA1c. DCCT100 showed that HbA1c values ranged between 6% and 11% in patients with a mean plasma glucose concentration of 10 mmol/l. Aiming for the same HbA_{1c} in all patients therefore implies that the target will be unrealistically low in some (with the potential risk of hypoglycaemia) and unrealistically high in others, providing false reassurance.101 HbA1c results can be affected by conditions other than diabetes. Some of these can be method dependent-for example, pregnancy, increased erythrocyte turnover, anaemia caused by iron deficiency and blood transfusion. Quoted target values cannot be used in such patients, in whom more emphasis may also be needed on the use of blood glucose self-monitoring results to assess the relationship between HbA_{1c} and mean blood glucose.

Although the glycosylation kinetics, in theory, allow for frequent HbA_{1c} monitoring, small changes in values during a short time period may reflect biological variation or imprecision of assay. Statistically, a total coefficient of variation of 2.5% around a result of 7% would result in a 95% confidence interval of 6.6–7.4%. Care must therefore be taken in interpreting small changes in HbA_{1c} (GMS indicator HbA_{1C} proportion of registered diabetic patients \leq 7.4%).

CONCLUSION

This review brings to a running total of 42 question–answer sets written to provide an overview of current advice in the use of laboratory tests in primary care. Answers to the first two question–answer sets can be found in Smellie *et al.*¹⁰² All of them have used a common search method,¹⁰³ although the guidance relies heavily also on the findings of recent systematic reviews. Authors wishing to consult the UK General Medical Services contract and related Quality and Outcomes Framework can refer to the General Medical Services contract¹⁰⁴ and Quality and Outcomes Framework,¹⁰⁵ respectively.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Mrs Susan Richardson for typing this manuscript, Mrs GC Smellie for help in collating answers into this article and the following people who reviewed the work: Prof IS Young (Association of Clinical Biochemists), Prof R Gama (Association of Clinical Pathologists), Dr AJ Mifsud (Association of Medical Microbiologists), Dr AB Provan (British Society for Haematology), Dr R Neal, Dr N Campbell (Royal College of General Practitioners), Dr G Beastall, Prof KG Kerr, Dr E Logan (Royal College of Pathologists), Prof R Bilous (Diabetes UK) and Dr RGD Neely (Heart UK). We also

Take-home messages

- Guidance on the use and interpretation of laboratory tests in primary care is fragmented. This review series examines series of clinical scenarios and summarises available guidance on test use and interpretation.
- The first of the scenarios examined is "abnormal" haemoglobin and platelet counts.
- The second scenario considers diagnosis and monitoring of anaemia caused by iron deficiency.
- The third scenario considers testing for secondary causes of hyperlipidaemia and hypertriglyceridaemia.
- The fourth scenario considers glycated haemoglobin and microalbumin measurements in patients with diabetes.

thank the other council members of these associations and colleges, who have assisted in recruiting reviewers. This work has been supported (in alphabetical order) by the Association of Clinical Biochemists, Association of Clinical Pathologists, Association of Medical Microbiologists, British Society for Haematology, Royal College of General Practitioners, Royal College of Pathologists and the Sowerby Centre for Health Informatics in Newcastle (SCHIN), representatives of which have contributed to the reviewing process. The Association of Clinical Biochemists, the Association of Clinicals contributed direct funding to support the project start-up. The opinions stated are, however, our own.

Authors' affiliations

W S A Smellie, Department of Chemical Pathology, Bishop Auckland General Hospital, Bishop Auckland, County Durham, UK

J Forth, Sowerby Centre for Health Informatics, Bede House, All Saints Business Centre, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK

D Bareford, Department of Haematology, City Hospital, Birmingham, West Midlands, UK

P Twomey, Department of Chemical Pathology, Ipswich Hospital, Heath Road, Ipswich, UK

M J Galloway, Department of Haematology, E Floor Haematology Office, Sunderland Royal Hospital, Sunderland, UK

E Logan, Department of Haematology, Kings Mill Centre, Sutton in Ashfield, Nottingham, UK

S R S Smart, PRODIGY, Sowerby Centre for Health Informatics at Newcastle, Bede House, All Saints Business Centre

T M Reynolds, Department of Clinical Chemistry, Queens Hospital, Burton on Trent, Staffordshire, UK

C Waine, Department of Primary Care, University of Sunderland, St Georges Way, Sunderland

Competing interests: None declared.

REFERENCES

- Bain B. In: Blood cells: a practical guide, 2nd edn. Oxford: Blackwell Scientific Ltd, 1995:154–7.
- 2 Vilpo J. Thrombocytopenia. In:EBM guidelines Evidence Based Medicine. 2004. www.guideline.gov/summary/summary.aspx?doc_id = 7266 (accessed 3 October 2005).
- 3 George JN, Raskob GE, Shah SR, et al. Drug-induced thrombocytopenia: a systematic review of published case reports. Ann Intern Med 1998;129:886–90.
- 4 Goldstein KH, Abramson N. Efficient diagnosis of thrombocytopenia. Am Fam Physician 1996;53:915–20.
- 5 Fiorin F, Steffan A, Pradella P, et al. IgG platelet antibodies in EDTAdependent pseudothrombocytopenia bind to platelet membrane glycoprotein IIb. Am Clin Pathol 1998;110:178–83.
- 6 George JN. For low platelets, how low is dangerous? Cleve Clin J Med 2004;71:277-8.
- 7 Aster RH. Pooling of platelets in the spleen: role in the pathogenesis of "hypersplenic" thrombocytopenia. J Clin Invest 1966;45:645-57.
- 8 American Society of Hematology Diagnosis and Treatment of Idiopathic Thrombocytopenic Purpura. Recommendations of the American Society of Hematology Diagnosis and treatment of idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura. Ann Intern Med 1997;126:319–26.
- 9 George JN, Gilcher RO, Smith JW, et al. Thrombotic thrombocytopenia purpura-hemolytic uremic syndrome: diagnosis and management. Clin Apheresis 1998;13:120–25.
- 10 Friedmann AM, Sengul H, Lehmann H, et al. Do basic laboratory tests or clinical observations predict bleeding in thrombocytopenic oncology patients? A reevaluation of prophylactic platelet transfusions. Transfus Med Rev 2002;16:34–45.
- Portielje JEA, Westendorp RGJ, Kluin-Nelemans HC, et al. Morbidity and mortality in adults with idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura. Blood 2001;97:2549–54.
- 12 Neylon AJ, Saunders PWG, Howard MR, et al. Clinically significant newly presenting autoimmune thrombocytopenic purpura in adults: a prospective study of a population-based cohort of 245 patients. Br J Haematol 2002;122:966-74.
- 13 George JN, Woolf SH, Raskob GE, et al. Idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura: a practice guideline developed by explicit methods for the American Society of Hematology. Blood 1996;88:340.
- 14 Bolton-Maggs PHB, Moon I. Assessment of UK practice for management of acute childhood idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura against published guidelines. *Lancet* 1997;350:620–3.
- 15 Vesely S, Buchanan GR, George JN, et al. Self reported diagnostic and management strategies in childhood idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura: results of a survey of practicing pediatric hematology/oncology specialists. *Am J Pediatr Hematol Oncol* 2000;22:55–61.

- 16 Burrows RF, Kelton JG. Fetal thrombocytopenia and its relation to maternal thrombocytopenia. N Engl J Med 1993;329:1463-66.
- British Committee for Standards in Haematology. Guidelines for the investigation and management of idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura in 17 adults, children and in pregnancy. *Br J Haematol* 2003;**120**:574–96. 18 **Beilin Y**, Zahn J, Comerford M. Safe epidural analgesia in thirty parturients
- with platelet counts between 69,000 and 98,000/ul. Anaesth Analg 1997;**85**:385–8.
- 19 Howard SC, Gajjar A, Ribeiro RC, et al. Safety and lumbar puncture for children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia and thrombocytopenia. JAMA 2000;284:2222-5.
- 20 Tefferi A. Approach to the patient with thrombocytosis. UpToDate 2004; V.12.3. https://store.utdol.com/app/index.asp (accessed 15 May 2006).
- 21 Murphy S, Illand H, Rosenthal D, et al. Essential thrombocythaemia: an interim report from the Polycythaemia Vera Study Group. Semin Haematol 1986:**23**:177-82
- 22 Van Genderen PJ, Mulder PG, Waleboer M, et al. Prevention and treatment of thrombotic complications in essential thrombocythaemia: efficacy and safety of aspirin. Br J Haematol 1997;97:179-84.
- 23 Fenaux P, Simon M, Caulier MT, et al. Clinical course of essential thrombocythaemia in 147 cases. Cancer 1990;66:456-549.
- 24 Chistolini A, Mazzucconi MG, Ferrari A, et al. Essential thrombocythaemia: a retrospective study on the clinical course of 100 patients. *Haematologica* 1990;**75**:537–40.
- 25 Stark P, Regiev A, Blickstein D, et al. Thrombotic complications in essential thrombocythemia with relatively low platelet counts. Am J Hematol 1997;**56**:168-72
- 26 Buss DH, Cashell AW, O'Connor ML, et al. Occurrence, etiology, and clinical significance of extreme thrombocytosis: as study of 280 cases. Am J Med 1994;**96**:247–53.
- 27 Alexandrakis MG, Passam FH, Moschandrea IA, et al. Levels of serum cytokines and acute phase proteins in patients with essential and cancer-
- related thrombocytosis. Am J Clin Oncol 2003;26:135–40.
 McMullin MF, Bareford D, Campbell P, et al. Guidelines for the diagnosis, investigation and management of polycythaemia/erythrocytosis. Br J Haematol 2005;130:174–95.
- 29 Pearson TC. Rheology of the absolute polycythaemias. Clin Haematol 1987;1:637-64.
- 30 Brown SM, Gilbert HS, Krauss S, et al. Spurious (relative) polycythaemia: a nonexistent disease. Am J Med 1971;50:200-7
- 31 Pearson TC. Evaluation of diagnostic criteria in polycythaemia vera. Semin Haematol 2001;38:21-2.
- 32 Cortelazzo S, Finazzi G, Ruggeri M, et al. Hydroxyurea for patients with essential thrombocythemia and a high risk of thrombosis. N Engl J Med 1995:332:1132-6
- 33 Landolfi R, Marchioli R, Kutti J, et al. Efficacy and safety of low-dose aspirin in polycythemia vera. N Engl J Med 2004;350:114–24.
- 34 Lowe GD. Rheological influences on thrombosis. Baillieres Best Pract Res Clin laematol 1999;**12**:435–49.
- 35 Messinezy M, Pearson TC. A retrospective study of apparent and relative polycythaemia: associated factors and early outcome. Clin Lab Haematol 1990;**12**:121–9.
- Pearson TC. Apparent polycythaemia. Blood Rev 1991;5:205–13.
 Moore-Gillon JC, Treacher DF, Gaminara EJ, et al. Intermittent hypoxia in
- patients with unexplained polycythaemia. Br Med J (Clin Res Ed) 1986:**293**:588–90.
- Bisensehr I, Noachtar S. Haematological aspects of obstructive sleep apnoea. Sleep Med Rev 2001;5:207–21.
 Guyatt GH, Patterson C, Ali M, et al. Diagnosis of iron deficiency in the
- elderly. Am J Med 1990;88:205-9
- 40 Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Ali M, et al. Laboratory diagnosis of iron deficiency anaemia. J Intern Med 1992;7:145-53. 41 PRODIGY guidance. Anaemia: iron deficiency. www.prodigy.nhs.uk/
- clinicalguidance/ (accessed 15 May 2006). 42 British Columbia Guidelines and Protocols Committee. Investigation and
- management of iron deficiency, 2004. http:// www.healthservices.gov.bc.ca/msp/protoguides/gps/irondef.pdf (accessed 15 May 2006).
- 43 Goddard AF, Kichtyre AS, Scott BB. Guidelines for the management of iron deficiency anaemia. Gut 2000;46:iv1–5.
- 44 Evans P, Gray DP. Value of screening for secondary causes of hyperlipidaemia in general practice. Br Med J 1994;309:509–10.
- 45 Scottish Intercollegiate Guideline Network (SIGN). Lipids and the primary prevention of coronary heart disease. Edinburgh: Scottish Intercollegiate Guideline Network, 1999
- 46 PRODIGY. Guidance—Hyperlipidaemia. http://www.prodigy.nhs.uk/ guidance.asp?gt=Hyperlipidaemia (accessed 15 May 2006).
- 47 International Task Force for Prevention of Coronary Heart Disease International task Force for Prevention of Corollary Hear Disease. Classification and causes of hyperlipidaerocarbana www.chd-taskforce.de/ guidelines/kap27.htm (accessed 15 May 2006).
 University of Michigan. Screening and management of lipids. Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan Health System, 2000.
 Nikkila EA. Triglyceride metabolism in diabetes mellitus. Progr Biochem Pharmacol 1973;8:271–99.
 Derivertar PN. Screening headisidentaria. Be Mad Bull.

- Durrington PN. Secondary hyperlipidaemia. Br Med Bull 50 1990;**46**:1005–24.
- 51 Elder J, McLelland A, StJ O'Reilly D, et al. The relationship between serum cholesterol and serum thyrotropin, thyroxine and tri-iodothyronine concentrations in suspected hypothyroidism. Ann Clin Biochem 1990:27:110-13.

- 52 Series JJ, Biggart EM, StJ O'Reilly D, et al. Thyroid dysfunction and hypercholesterolaemia in the general population of Glasgow, Scotland. Clin Chim Acta 1988;172:217-22
- Ishibashi S, Murase T, Yamada N, et al. Hyperlipidaemia in patients with hypopituitarism. Acta Endocrinol (Copenh) 1985;110:456–60.
- 54 Durrington PN, ed. Secondary hyperlipidaemia in hyperlipidaemia: diagnosis and management. London: Butterworth Heinemann, 1995:291–366
- 55 Department of Health. National service framework for coronary heart disease. London: Department of Health, 2000.
- 56 Wood D, De Backer G, Faergeman O, et al. Prevention of coronary heart disease in clinical practice; recommendations of the Second Joint Task Force of the European and other Societies on coronary prevention. Eur Heart J 1998;**19**:1434-503.
- Neil HA, Man D, Jones L, et al. Lipid screening: is it enough to measure total cholesterol concentration? Br Med J 1990;301:584–7.
- S8 FATS3. A district wide strategy for the use of lipid lowering drugs in Newcastle and North Tyneside. http://www.gp-training.net/protocol/ cardiovascular/lipids/FATS3.pdf (accessed 15 May 2006).
- Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III). Executive summary of the third report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP). JAMA. 2001;285: 2486-97, http://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/guidelines/cholesterol/ index.htm (accessed 15 May 2006).
 60 Assman G, Cullen P, Schelte H. The Munster Heart Study (PROCAM). Results of the follow-up at 8 years. Eur Heart J 1998;19(Suppl A):A2-11.
 1 Meart II. Levis B. Schelte Let del Med Head Head Part and Alkan.
- Mann JI, Lewis B, Shepherd J, et al. Blood lipid concentrations and other 61 cardiovascular risk factors: distribution, prevalence and detection in Britain. Br Med J 1988;**296**:1702-6.
- 62 Eberly LE, Stamler J, Neaton JD. Multiple risk factor intervention trial research group. Relation of triglyceride levels, fasting and nonfasting, to fatal and nonfatal coronary heart disease. Arch Intern Med 2003:163:1077-83.
- Smellie WSA, Lowrie R, Wilkinson EL. A laboratory based intervention to improve appropriateness of lipid tests and audit cholesterol lowering in primary care. BMJ 2001;**323**:1224–7.
- 64 Austin MA, Hokanson JE, Edwards KL. Hypertriglyceridaemia as a cardiovascular risk factor. Am J Cardiol 1998;81:7B–12B.
- 65 Rubins HB, Robins SJ, Collins D, et al. Gemfibrozil for the secondary prevention of coronary heart disease in men with low levels of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol. Veterans affairs high-density lipoprotein cholesterol intervention trial study group. N Engl J Med 1999;**341**:410–8. 66 **Brunzell JD**, Hazzard WR, Porte D Jr, *et al*. Evidence for a common
- saturable, triglyceride removal mechanism for chylomicrons and very low density lipoproteins in man. J Clin Invest 1973;52:1578-85.
- **Rifai N**, Bachorik PS, Albers JJ. Chapter 25: Lipids, lipoproteins and apolipoproteins. In: Burtis CA, Ashwood ER, eds. *Tietz textbook of clinical chemistry*. 3rd edn. Singapore: WB Saunders Company, 1999, 809–61 (Chapter 25)
- 68 Cucuzzella M, Smith PC, Nashelsky J. When should we treat isolated triglycerides? - Clinical inquiries from the family practice inquiries network. J Fam Pract 2004;**53**:142–4.
- 69 McIntosh A, Hutchinson A, Feder G, et al. Clinical guidelines and evidence review for type 2 diabetes: lipids management. Sheffield: ScHARR, University of Sheffield, 2002.
- Durrington PN. Hypertriglyceridaemia. Diagnosis and management. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1995:190–214.
 Goldenberg NM, Wang P, Glueck CJ. An observational study of severe
- Glueck CJ, Lang J, Hamer T, et al. Severe hypertriglyceridaemia and
- pancreatilis when estrogen replacement therapy is given to hypertriglyceridaemic women. *J Lab Clin Med* 1994;**123**:18–9.
- 73 De Backer G, Ambrosioni E, Borch-Johnsen K, et al. European guidelines on cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice. Third joint task force of European and other societies on cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice. Eur Heart J 2003;**24**:1601–10.
- 74 McIntosh A, Hutchinson A, Feder G, et al. National committee on cardiac care lipids. Singapore: Singapore Ministry of Health, 2001.
- 75 PRODIGY guidance. Hyperlipidaemia. Scenario 2. Hypertriglyceridaemia. http://www.prodigy.nhs.uk/guidance.asp?gt=Hyperlipidaemia#scenario2 (accessed 15 May 2006).
- 76 Goldstein DE, Little RR, Lorenz RA, et al. Tests of glycemia in diabetes. Diabetes Care 1995;18:896-909.
- 77 Goldstein DE, Little RR, Wiedmeyer HM, et al. Glycated hemoglobin estimation in 1990s: a review of assay methods and clinical interpretation. In: Marshall SM, Home PD, eds. *Diabetes annual*. Vol 8. Amsterdam: Elservier, 1994:193–212.
- 78 Beach KW. A theoretical model to predict the behavior of glycosylated hemoglobin levels. J Theor Biol 1979;81:547–61.
- Tahara Y, Shina K. The response of GHb to stepwise plasma glucose change over time in diabetic patients. *Diabetes Care* 1993;16:1313–14.
 Coster S, Gulliford MC, Seed PT, et al. Monitoring blood glucose in diabetes mellitus: a systematic review. *Health Technol Assess*. 2000;4: i-iv, 1–93.
- http://www.ncchta.org/fullmono/mon412.pdf (accessed 15 May 2006).
- National Institute for Health, Clinical Excellence (NICE). CG15 Type 1 diabetes: diagnosis and management of type 1 diabetes in adults - Full guideline, main section. http://www.nice.org.uk/pdf/ cg015niceguideline.pdf (accessed 3 October 2005).

- 82 National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. Management of type 2 diabetes – Managing blood glucose levels (Guideline G), http:// www.nice.org.uk/pdf/NICE_INHERITEG_guidelines.pdf (accessed 15 May 2006)
- 83 American Diabetes Association. Standards of medical care for patients with diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Care* 2005;**28**(Suppl 1):S4–36. **Sacks DB**, Bruns DE, Goldstein DE, *et al.* Guidelines and recommendations
- 84 for laboratory analysis in the diagnosis and management of diabetes mellitus. *Clin Chem* 2002;**48**:436–72.
- 6 American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists and American College of Endocrinology. The American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists medical guidelines for the management of diabetes mellitus: the AACE system of intensive diabetes self-management – 2002 update. Endocr Pract 2002-8-40-82
- 86 National Diabetes Quality Improvement Alliance. Physician consortium for performance improvement clinical performance measurement adult diabetes. http://www.nationaldiabetesalliance.org/Final2005Measures.pdf (accessed 15 May 2006).
- Smith J. Natrass M. The role of clinical biochemistry in the diabetes clinic. In: Smith J. Natrass M. Diabetes and laboratory medicine. Franklin, TN: ACP Venture Publications, 2004:113-25.
- 88 Diabetes UK. Care recommendation: new diagnostic criteria for diabetes. www.diabetes.org.uk/infocentre/carerec/newdiagnotic.htm (accessed 15 May 2006).
- 89 WHO. Definition, diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus and its complications. Report of a WHO consultation.Part 1: diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus. http://www.staff.ncl.ac.uk/philip.home/ vho_dmc.htm (accessed 15 May 2006).
- 90 Kilpatrick ES, Maylor PW, Keevil BG. Biological variation of glycated haemoglobin. Implications for screening and monitoring. Diabetes Care 1998:21:261-4.
- 91 Dinneen SF, Gerstein HC. The association of microalbuminuria and mortality in non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus: a systematic overview of the literature. Arch Intern Med 1997;157:1413-8
- 92 Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network. Guideline number 55. Management of diabetes. http://www.sign.ac.uk/pdf/sign55.pdf (accessed 15 May 2006).

- 93 National Institute for Health, Clinical Excellence (NICE). Management of type 2 diabetes – renal disease, prevention and early management. http:// www.nice.org.uk/pdf/diabetesrenalguideline.pdf (accessed 15 May 2006).
- 94 The Diabetes Control and Complications Trial (DCCT) Research Group. The effect of intensive treatment of diabetes on the development and progression
- effect of infensive frediment of diabetes on the development and progression of long-term complications in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. N Engl J Med 1993;329:977-86.
 Stratton IM, Adler AI, Neil HA, et al. Association of glycaemia with macrovascular and microvascular complications of type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 35): prospective observational study. BMJ 2000;321:405-12.
 European Diabetes Policy Group. A desktop guide to Type 2 diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Med 1999;16:716-30.
 Diabeter Multiw Conservational Contract. ACM 2000;321:405-12.
- 97 Diabetes Mellitus Consensus Conference. ACE consensus development conference on guidelines for glycemic control. Endocrine Practice Supplement. November/December, 2001.
- 98 The National Service Framework for Diabetes. Intervention details and draft service models. http://www.psnc.org.uk/uploaded_txt/ Diabetes_Book_tna4s.pdf (accessed 15 May 2006).
- The Diabetes Control and Conplications Trial Research Group. Effect of intensive diabetes management on macrovascular events and risk factors in the diabetes control and complications Trial. Am J Cardiol 1995-**75**-894-903
- 100 Rohlfing CL, Weidmeyer H-S, Little RR, et al. Defining the relationship between plasma glucose and HbA1c: analysis of glucose profiles and HbA1c in the diabetes control and complications trial. Diabetes Care 2002;25:275-8
- Kilpatrick E. HbA1c measurement. J Clin Pathol 2004;57:344-5. Smellie WSA, Wilson D, McNulty CAM, et al. Best practice in primary care 102 pathology. Review 1. Best practice working group. J Clin Pathol 2005; 58:1016-27.
- 103 Smellie WSA, Wilson D, Finnegan DI, et al. Best practice in pathology. Methodology for constructing guidance. J Clin Pathol 2005;58:249–53
- 104 General Medical Services Contract. www.nhsconfed.org/docs/contract.pdf (accessed 15 May 2006).
- 105 Quality and Outcomes Framework. Accompanying guidance document. www.nhsconfed.org/docs/quality_and_outcomes_framework_ guidance.pdf (accessed 15 May 2006).

1116

PostScript.

CALENDAR OF EVENTS

Combined adult and congenital cardiovascular pathology course

29 November–1 December 2006, Royal Brompton & Harefield NHS Trust, London, UK.

This "hands-on" course approaches in detail the problems that face the diagnostic pathologist when dealing with cardiovascular pathology, both congenital and adult. The approach to a cardiac autopsy and sudden death will be emphasised. Cardiac specimens will be made available for analysis and there will also be video demonstrations. A slide seminar with slides is included. The course is aimed at trainees studying for the MRC PATH, and also at senior pathologists who wish to update their knowledge. The course fee is £400 (including coffee, tea and lunch). There is a special fee of £300 for junior doctors in training.

Further details: Short Course Office, National Heart and Lung Institute, Dovehouse Street, London SW3 6LY, UK; Tel: +44 (0)20 7351 8172; Fax: +44 (0)20 7351 8246; Email: shortcourse.nhli@ic.ac.uk

CORRECTIONS

doi: 10.1136/jcp.2005.035212corr1

Several errors occurred in figure 1 of the review article in the last issue of the journal

(Smellie WSA, Forth J, Sundar S, *et al*. Best practice in primary care pathology: review 4. *J Clin Pathol* 2006;**59**:893–902.). A corrected version is available on our website at http://jcp.bmjjournals.com/supplemental/

doi: 10.1136/jcp.2005.033944.corr1

We regret that there was an error in the August issue (Smellie WSA, Forth J, Bareford D, *et al.* Best practice in primary care pathology: review 3. *J Clin Pathol* 2006;**59**:781–9.) On p784, lines 1–2, "bone marrow" should have been replaced by "point of care glucose test (BM)". We apologise for this error.