COPS Data-returns, April 2006

Preliminary comments

- 1. We received 38 returns (after sending two emil reminders to the CONST AT list). Because of the uncertain ty as to what constitutes a "group" I cannot express this as a response rate, but there is clearly scope for improvement. We do now have an emil list of contact mans for the 38 responders, so next year it ought to be easier to target marresponders and so impresse the overall response rate.
- 2. My colleague Barry Rowlingson arranged an implement attion of web-based individual returns, and automatic assembly of all of the returns into a comma-separated text-file suitable (after nimor editing) for reading into R as a data-frame. In the event, some intervention was necessary before processing because:
- (a) two groups sent duplicate returns
- (b) a few returns included text answers to questions expecting a numerical response
- 3. It was pointed out to me beforehard that there was some reductancy in asking people first for total RG registrations, then registrations for the same students but further classified by source of furting. The working group had a brief discussion about whether we could rely on getting accurate returns on the finer classification. In the event, only 1 of 38 returns passed the implied validity check. In the summary below I have included the tables for PhD and MSc students classified by source of furting despite the doubts about their accuracy. For other degrees, I decided that the numbers were too small to justify detailed tabilation, but the raw data are available.
- 4. Totals for academic staff classified either by grade or by age/sex were also inconsisten t (369.3 and 373.3).
- 5. In all cases, one would hope that the "Other" category would account for small numbers. This turns out not to be the case for the source of funding for PhD studen to and for research staff. With hindsight, we should have included further categories here, eg charities, industry/commerce, go vernment departments.
- 6. I have not attempted to analyse the data, but we do now at least have an electronically stored data-set, and a baseline (albeit imperfect) on which we can bild a time series over the years to come if we wish

Summary tables

$1.\ Postgraduate\ completions\ during\ calendar\ year\ 2005$

	UK		Oher	HU .	Or erseas		Total
	Full-tim	Part-time	Full-tim	Part-time	Full-tim	Part-time	
PhD	29.0	13.0	10.0	1.0	19.0	1.0	73.0
MPil	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	3.0
Mas	3.0	0.0	1.8	0.0	0.2	0.0	5.0
NSc	92.0	39.0	39.0	5.0	67.0	5.0	247.0
RG Diploma	3.0	6.0	1.0	1.0	5.0	1.0	17.0
Other	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	128.0	58.0	51.8	7.0	93.2	7.0	345.0

$2.\ Postgraduate\ registrations\ as\ of\ 1\ January\ 2006$

	UK		Other	HU.	Or erseas		Total
	Full-tim	Part-time	Full-tim	Part-tim	Full-tim	Part-tim	
PhD	182.5	32.0	47.5	12.0	149.5	12.0	435.5
Maril	1.0	2.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	5.0
MRs	2.2	0.0	1.5	0.0	1.8	0.0	5.5
NSc	89.0	210.0	48.0	20.0	97.0	39.0	503.0
RG Diploma	3.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	10.0
Other	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	2.0	3.0
Total	277.7	246.0	97.0	34.0	254.3	53.0	962.0

3. Principal funding source for all students returned under 3 above

3.1 PhD students

	W.		Oher	HU	Or erseas		Total
	Full-tim	Part-tim	Full-tim	Part-tim	Full-tim	Part-tim	
HSR C	88.5	1.0	7.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	98.5
ER C	10.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.0
NHR C	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0
BSR C	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0
MR C	14.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.0
K/HU	0.0	0.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0
University	14.5	5.0	6.0	1.0	26.0	2.0	54.5
Explo yer	2.0	32.0	0.0	1.0	20	4.0	59.0
Self	7.0	10.0	11.0	8.0	28.7	5.0	69.7
Other	11.5	2.0	9.0	1.0	86.3	1.0	110.8
Total	154.5	50.0	37.0	12.0	162.0	12.0	427.5

3.4 MSc students

	W.		Other EU		Or erseas		Total
	Full-tim	Part-tim	Full-tim	Part-tim	Full-tim	Part-tim	
ESR C	24.0	11.0	7.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	43.0
ESR C	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0
NHR C	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
BSR C	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0
MR C	8.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.0
K/HU	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
University	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	2.0
Explo yer	3.0	61.5	0.0	5.0	2.0	5.0	76.5
Self	30.0	116.5	24.0	13.0	72.0	31.0	286.5
Other	8.0	2.0	3.0	0.0	7.0	2.0	22.0
Total	82.0	191.0	34.0	19.0	83.0	38.0	447.0

 $4.\ \ Number\ of\ currently\ registered\ PhD/MPhil\ students\ whose\ principal\ source\ of\ funding\ has\ ended:\ {\bf 33.5}$

5. Academic staff numbers as of 1 January 2006

4.1 By grade

	Full-tim	Part-tim	(num ber)	Part-time (FTE)	Total FIE
Lecturer	150.5		25.0	10.0	160.5
Serior Lecturer	73.0		6.0	3.5	76.5
Reader	32.0		1.0	0.3	32.3
Professor	97.0		8.0	2.9	99.9
Total	352.5	_	40.0	16.8	369.3

4.2 By sex and age

		Full-tim	Part-time (num ber)	Part-time (FTE)	Total FIE
Female	Under 35	27.0	2.0	1.0	28.0
	35–54	39.0	14.0	5.9	44.9
	Orer 54	16.0	2.0	0.9	16.9
Mae	Under 35	56.0	5.0	1.4	57.4
	35–54	133.0	5.0	3.2	136.2
	Or er 54	85.5	12.0	4.39	89.9
Total		356.5	40.0	16.8	373.3

$6.\ Research\ staff\ numbers\ as\ of\ 1\ January\ 2006$

Furling source	Full-tim	Part-tim	(num ber)	Part-time (FTE)	Total FIE
HSR C	26.5		2.0	0.8	27.3
ESR C	2.0		3.0	1.9	3.9
NHR C	4.0		0.0	0.0	4.0
BSR C	9.0		0.0	0.0	9.0
NAR C	5.0		2.0	0.5	5.5
K/H/	5.0		0.0	0.0	5.0
University	10.0		1.0	0.4	10.4
Emplo yer	1.0		0.0	0.0	1.0
Self	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0
Other	99.0		6.0	3.4	102.4
Total	161.5		14.0	7.0	168.5

PJD 3 May 2006